





Now it is easy to see that  $G_{\langle w_1 \rangle}$  contains the following two subgroups:

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} H &:= \left\{ g := \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} a & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & A & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a^{-1} \end{array} \right) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, A \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2r-2}(k) \right\}; \\ Q &:= \left\{ g := \left( \begin{array}{c|cccc|c} 1 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{2r-2} & a_{2r-1} & \\ \hline 0 & & & & & b_{2r-2} \\ \vdots & & I & & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & & b_1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & & 1 \end{array} \right) \mid \begin{array}{l} a_1, \dots, a_{2r-1}, b_1, \dots, b_{2r-2} \in k, \\ b_i = \begin{cases} -a_i, & \text{if } i \leq r-1; \\ a_i, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following facts are easy to check:

- (1)  $Q \cap H = \{1\}$ ;
- (2)  $|G_{\langle w_1 \rangle}| = |Q| \cdot |H|$ ;
- (3)  $Q$  is isomorphic to the additive group  $(k^{2r-1}, +)$ ;
- (4) The map  $H \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2r-2}(k) \times \mathrm{GL}_1(q)$ ,  $g \mapsto (A, a)$  is an isomorphism.

The first two items imply that  $G_{\langle w_1 \rangle} = Q \cdot H$ . One can easily check that  $H$  normalizes  $Q$ , and thus  $Q$  is normal in  $G_{\langle w_1 \rangle}$  and we conclude that  $G_{\langle w_1 \rangle} = Q \rtimes H$ . Now the last two items complete the proof.  $\square$

**16.1. Symplectic transvections.** Recall that a *transvection* on  $V$  is an element  $t \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$  such that

- $\mathrm{rk}(t - I) = 1$ ;
- $(t - I)^2 = 0$ .

**(E16.8\*)** Given a transvection  $t$ , there exists  $f \in V^*$  and  $a \in \ker(f)$  such that

$$vT = v + (vf)a \text{ for all } v \in V.$$

Let  $\beta$  be an alternating bilinear non-degenerate form on  $V$ . A *symplectic transvection* for  $\beta$  is a transvection  $t$  that lies in  $\mathrm{Isom}(\beta) \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(q)$ . If  $a$  and  $f$  are as in the previous exercise, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(vt, wt) &= \beta(v + (vf)a, w + (wf)a) \\ &= \beta(v, w) + (wf)\beta(v, a) + (vf)\beta(a, w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $t$  is symplectic if and only if  $(wf)\beta(v, a) = (vf)\beta(w, a)$  for all  $v, w \in V$ . Take  $w$  such that  $\beta(w, a) = 1$  and let  $\lambda = wf$ , then we require that  $vf = \lambda\beta(v, a)$  and so a symplectic transvection for  $\beta$  is given by

$$v \mapsto v + \lambda\beta(v, a)a.$$

Conversely, one can check that a transvection of this form does indeed lie in  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(k)$ .

**Lemma 16.5.** *The symplectic transvections generate the symplectic group  $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(k)$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 16.1 implies that the result is true for  $n = 2$ . Now we induct on  $n$ . Define

$$D := \langle t \mid t \text{ is a transvection in } G \rangle.$$

**Claim:**  $D$  is transitive on  $V \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Proof of claim:** Let  $u, v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $\beta(u, v) \neq 0$ , then the symplectic transvection

$$(25) \quad x \mapsto x + \frac{\beta(x, v - u)}{\beta(u, v)}(v - u)$$

carries  $u$  to  $v$ . If  $\beta(u, v) = 0$ , then (E16.6) implies that we can choose  $w$  such that  $\beta(u, w), \beta(v, w) \neq 0$ , and so we can map  $u$  to  $w$  to  $v$ .

**Claim:**  $D$  is transitive on the set of hyperbolic pairs in  $V$ .

**Proof of claim:** By the previous claim it is sufficient to prove that if  $(v, w_1)$  and  $(v, w_2)$  are hyperbolic pairs, then there exists a transvection  $t \in G_v$  such that  $w_1^g = w_2$ . If  $\beta(w_1, w_2) \neq 0$ , then the following will do:

$$x \mapsto x + \frac{\beta(x, w_1 - w_2)}{\beta(w_1, w_2)}(w_1 - w_2).$$

If  $\beta(w_1, w_2) = 0$ , then go via  $v + w_1$  as before.

Now it is sufficient to prove that any symplectic transformation  $g$  fixing a hyperbolic pair  $(u, v)$  is a product of symplectic transvections. It is easy to see that the stabilizer of  $(u, v)$  is the isometry group of  $\langle u, v \rangle^\perp$  (cf. (22)), a symplectic polar space of dimension  $2r - 2$ . Induction now allows us to assume that  $g$  is a product of transvections in  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r-2}(q)$  and hence in  $\mathrm{Sp}(q)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 16.6.**  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(k) \leq \mathrm{SL}_{2r}(k)$ .

In the next lemma we will use the fact, found in the proof of Lemma 16.5, that  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(k)$  is transitive on hyperbolic pairs.

**Lemma 16.7.** *Every symplectic transvection is contained in a conjugate of the group  $Q$  defined in Lemma 16.4.*

*Proof.* We can use the definition for  $Q$  given by (??) provided we are careful to define  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(k)$  with respect to the matrix (23).

Now let  $t$  be a symplectic transvection and write

$$t : V \rightarrow V, v \mapsto v + \lambda\beta(v, a)a$$

where  $\lambda \in k^*$  and  $a \in V$ . Let  $w \in V$  be such that  $(w, a)$  is a hyperbolic pair. Now extending this to a symplectic basis (with  $w$  as the first element of the basis and  $a$  the last which, in particular, is consistent with (23)) and invoking Witt's lemma, we know that we can conjugate by an element  $g$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(k)$  so that

$$t^g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda\beta(v, a) \\ 0 & 1 & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now  $t \in Q^{g^{-1}}$  as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 16.8.** *Let  $Q$  be the group defined in Lemma 16.4. Then*

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(q) = \langle t \mid t \text{ is a transvection} \rangle = \langle Q^g \mid g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(q) \rangle.$$

**Lemma 16.9.** *Symplectic transvections in  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(k)$  are commutators in  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(k)$  except if*

$$(2r, |k|) \in \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (4, 2)\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $t$  be a transvection, and let  $v \in V$  such that  $vt$  is linearly independent of  $v$ . It is easy to see that  $U = \langle v, vt \rangle$  is a hyperbolic plane, and that  $t$  acts trivially on  $U^\perp$ .

Then  $t$  acts as a transvection on  $U$  and, by Lemma 12.5,  $t$  is a commutator in  $\mathrm{SL}(U)$  provided  $|k| \geq 3$ . Now Lemma 16.1 implies that  $t$  is a commutator in  $\mathrm{Sp}(U)$  and hence in  $G$ , as required.

To complete the proof we must deal with  $|k| \leq 3$ . The following exercise does that.

**(E16.9\*)** *Prove that symplectic transvections in  $\mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$  and  $\mathrm{Sp}(4, 3)$  are commutators.*

$\square$

**Corollary 16.10.**  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(k)$  is perfect.

Iwasawa's criterion and the preceding results allow us to conclude our big result.

**Theorem 16.11.**  $\mathrm{P}\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(q)$  is simple unless

$$(2r, q) \in \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (4, 2)\}.$$

We mentioned earlier that coincidences in order between simple groups, and isomorphisms between ‘different’ simple groups are important. The following theorem deals with all such coincidences, and isomorphisms, between  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2r}(q)$  and the other simple groups that we have encountered thus far. In light of Lemma 16.1 we restrict to  $r > 1$ .

**Proposition 16.12.** *Let  $K$  and  $L$  be simple with  $K = \mathrm{PSp}_{2r}(q)$  (with  $r > 1$ ) and  $L \cong \mathrm{PSL}_m(q')$  or  $A_m$ . Then  $K \not\cong L$ .*

It is worth dealing with the three cases listed in Theorem 16.11 for which  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(q)$  is not simple. Lemma 16.1 and our results for  $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$  immediately imply that

$$\mathrm{Sp}_2(2) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(2) \cong S_3;$$

$$\mathrm{Sp}_2(3) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(3) \cong A_4.$$

Our final lemma deals with the remaining case.

**Lemma 16.13.**  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(2) \cong S_6$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a 6-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . After fixing a basis for  $V$ , observe that  $S_6$  acts on  $V$  by permuting coordinates.

Define the form  $\beta(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^6 x_i y_i$  and write  $j = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ . Then  $\langle j \rangle^\perp$  is of dimension 5 and contains  $j$ ; we define  $W := \langle j \rangle^\perp / \langle j \rangle$ , a vector space of dimension 4.

Observe that the action of  $S_6$  on  $V$  induces, by restriction, a faithful action on  $W$ . Furthermore the form  $\beta$  induces a form  $\beta_W$  on  $W$ , since  $\beta(x, j) = 0$  for  $x \in \langle j \rangle^\perp$ . Since  $\beta(x, x) = 0$  for  $x \in \langle j \rangle^\perp$ , the form  $\beta_W$  is alternating and one can check that it is non-degenerate.

Since  $S_6$  preserves  $\beta_W$  we obtain an embedding  $S_6 \leq \mathrm{Sp}_4(2)$ . Since the two groups have the same order, the result follows.  $\square$