## **EXERCISE SHEET 1 WITH SOLUTIONS**

(E8) Prove that, given a transitive action of G on  $\Omega$ , there exists a subgroup  $H \leq G$  such that the action of G on  $\Omega$  is isomorphic to the action of G on  $H\backslash G$ . You may need to recall what it means for two group actions to be isomorphic.

> **Answer.** Let  $\omega \in \Omega$  and set  $H := G_{\omega}$ . Define  $f: \Omega \to H \setminus G, \omega_1 \mapsto H q_1$

where  $\omega^{g_1} = \omega_1$ . It is clear that f is well-defined and bijective. Now observe that, for  $\omega_1 \in \Omega$  and  $g \in G$ ,

$$f(\omega_1^g) = f(\omega^{g_1g}) = Hg_1g = (Hg_1)g = (f(\omega_1))^g.$$

The result follows.

(E12) Let G be a finite group acting transitively on a set  $\Omega$ . Show that the average number of fixed points of the elements of G is 1, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \omega^g = \omega\}| = 1.$$

**Answer.** Consider the set

$$\Lambda := \{ (\omega, g) \in \Omega \times G \mid \omega^g = g \}.$$

We count  $|\Lambda|$  in two different ways. Observe that there are  $|\Omega|$  possibilities for the first entry, and for each such entry there are  $|G_{\omega}|$  possibilities for the second entry. On the other hand there are |G| possibilities for the second entry and, for each such entry there are d possibilities for the first entry. (Here we write d for the average number of fixed points of elements in G.) We conclude that

$$\Omega|\cdot|G_{\omega}| = |G|\cdot d.$$

Now the orbit-stabilizer theorem yields the result.

(E14) For which values of n is the action of  $D_{2n}$  on an n-gon, 2-transitive?

**Answer.** In order to be 2-transitive,  $D_{2n}$  must be transitive on pairs of distinct vertices, a set of size n(n-1). Thus a necessary condition for 2-transitivity is that n(n-1) divides  $|D_{2n}| = 2n$ . We conclude that the only possible value for n is 3. It is easy to verify that when n = 3, the action is, indeed 2-transitive. (Indeed it is 3-transitive!)

<sup>(</sup>E19) Prove that G acts primitively on  $\Omega$  if and only if G acts transitively and any stabilizer,  $G_{\omega}$ , is a maximal subgroup of G.

**Answer.** That primitivity implies transitivity is obvious, since orbits are *G*-congruences.

Now suppose that  $\sim$  is a non-trivial *G*-congruence and let  $\Lambda$  be a block of imprimitivity for  $\sim$  containing a point  $\omega$ . Now define

$$G_{\Lambda} := \{ g \in G \mid \lambda^g \in \Lambda \text{ for all } g \in G \}.$$

Clearly  $G_{\Lambda}$  is a group and it contains  $G_{\omega}$ .

Note first that if  $G_{\Lambda} = G$ , then  $\Lambda = \Omega$  which contradicts the fact that  $\sim$  is non-trivial. On the other hand, since  $\sim$  is non-trivial there exists  $\omega_1 \in \Lambda \setminus \{\omega\}$  and, since G is transitive, there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\omega^g = \omega_1$ . Since  $G_{\Lambda}$  contains g we conclude that  $G_{\omega}$  is a proper subgroup of  $G_{\Lambda}$  as required.

On the other hand suppose that  $G_{\omega} < H < G$  for some subgroup H. We must show that G acts imprimitively. We define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\Omega$  as follows:

$$\alpha \sim \beta \iff G_{\alpha}, G_{\beta} < H^g, \exists g \in G.$$

It is easy to see that  $\sim$  is well-defined; we must show it is non-trivial. If there is one equivalence class, then H is transitive and contains  $G_{\Omega}$ , hence H = G, a contradiction. If all equivalence classes are singletons, then no element of Hmoves  $\omega$  and so  $G_{\omega} = H$ , a contradiction. We are done.

(E21) Use Iwasawa's criterion to show that  $A_n$  is simple for  $n \ge 5$ . Hint: consider the action on unordered triples from  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

**Answer.** I'll just prove the result for  $n \ge 7$ . The other cases can be done directly.

Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of all unordered triples from  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ , and consider the natural action of  $G = A_n$  on  $\Lambda$  given by

$$\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}^g := \{\lambda_1^g, \lambda_2^g, \lambda_3^g\}.$$

It is easy to see that this action is faithful.

It is easy to see that the stabilizer of a point  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda$  is isomorphic to  $(S_3 \times S_{n-3}) \cap A_n$ .

**Claim:** The 3-cycles generate  $A_n$  if  $n \ge 4$ .

**Proof of claim:** Any element of  $A_n$  can be written as a product of an even number of transpositions. We claim that any pair of transpositions in such a product can be replaced by one or two 3-cycles. There are two cases.

- The transpositions move distinct points. But then we use the fact that

$$(1, 2, 3)(1, 2, 4) = (1, 3)(2, 4)$$

- The transpositions have one point in common. But then we use the fact

$$(1, 2, 3) = (1, 2)(2, 3).$$

**Claim:** If  $n \ge 7$ , then the stabilizer of a point in  $\Lambda$  is maximal in  $A_n$ . In particular G acts on  $\Lambda$  primitively.

**Proof of claim:** Let H be the stabilizer of  $\{1, 2, 3\} \in \Lambda$  and notice that H has orbits  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\{4, \ldots, n\}$  in the action on  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Any elements that

normalizes H must either fix these orbits, of permute them. But since they are of different sizes, we conclude that an element must fix the orbits, and hence lies in H, i.e.  $N_G(H) = H$ .

Let  $H < M \leq G$ . Since  $N_G(H) = H$  we conclude that M contains a distinct conjugate of H. This conjugate must contain a 3-cycle containing at least one element from  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and at least one element from  $\{4, \ldots, n\}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that the 3-cycle is (1, 2, 4) or (1, 4, 5).

In the former case it is easy to see that M contains Alt( $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ), the alternating group on  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . With slightly more work one can see, in the second case that M contains Alt( $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ ). Now we induct. Suppose that M contains Alt( $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ ). It is obvious that the stabilizer in M of  $\{1, \ldots, k+1\}$  is transitive on  $\{1, \ldots, k+1\}$ , and so M contains Alt( $\{1, \ldots, k+1\}$ ). We conclude that M = G as required.

**Claim:** The stabilizer of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  has a normal abelian subgroup whose normal closure is G.

**Proof of Claim:** The subgroup  $\langle (1, 2, 3) \rangle$  is obviously normal and abelian. Its normal closure is G by virtue of the fact that it contains a 3-cycle, that all 3-cycles are conjugate, and that the 3-cycles generate G.

**Claim:**  $A_n$  is perfect for  $n \ge 5$ .

**Proof of Claim:** We need only show that G' contains a 3-cycle. But this follows from

(2,5,1)(3,2,4)(1,5,2)(4,2,3) = (2,5,4).

Now the result follows by Iwasawa's Criterion.

(E22) Prove the following variant on Iwasawa's criterion: Suppose that G is a finite perfect group acting faithfully and primitively on a set  $\Omega$ , and suppose that the stabilizer of a point has a normal soluble subgroup S, whose conjugates generate G. Then G is simple.

**Answer.** Let K be a normal non-trivial subgroup of G. Lemma 2 of lectures implies, therefore, that K acts transitively on  $\Omega$  and hence  $G = G_{\omega}K$ . Thus, for all  $g \in G$ , there exists  $g_1 \in G_{\omega}, k \in K$  such that  $g = g_1k$  and this implies, in particular, that

 $\{S^g \mid g \in G\} = \{S^k \mid k \in K\}.$ Now, since  $\langle S^k \mid k \in K \rangle \leq SK \leq G$  we conclude that G = SK. Then  $G/K = SK/K \cong S/S \cap K.$ 

Since the right hand side is a quotient of a solvable group it must itself be solvable, and we conclude that G/K is solvable. Since the derived series of a solvable group terminates at  $\{1\}$  we conclude that either G/K is trivial (and we are done) or G/K is not perfect, i.e. G/K has an abelian quotient. But the latter implies that G has an abelian quotient which contradicts the fact that G is perfect.

(E23) Check that the definition of a semi-direct product given in lectures gives a well-defined group. If  $\phi$  is the trivial homomorphism, what is  $K \rtimes_{\phi} H$ ?

Answer. Group multiplication was given by

 $(h_1, k_1)(h_2, k_2) = (h_1 \cdot h_2, k_1^{\phi(h_2)} \cdot k_2).$ 

Closure is clear. I will leave associativity for the bracket fanatic. Observe that (1,1) is an identity element and that the inverse of  $(h_1, k_1)$  is given by  $(h_1^{-1}, (k_1^{\phi(h_1^{-1})})^{-1})$ .

(E24) Prove Lemma 4 from lectures.

**Answer.** This is Theorem 9.9 of Rose's *A course on group theory*. Or can be found in any standard book on group theory.

(E29) Show that Vandermonde's Theorem does not hold in the octonions,  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Answer.** Take  $f(X) = X^2 + 1$ . Then i, j and k are all roots of f.

(E30) Show that  $X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_3[X]$  is irreducible, and compute the addition and multiplication tables for  $\mathbb{F}_9 := \mathbb{F}_3[x]/\langle X^2 + 1 \rangle$ .

**Answer.** If  $X^2 + 1$  were reducible it would have a root, but it doesn't. An addition table is hardly necessary as one just does normal polynomial addition. Multiplication is the interesting one. I write  $a + b\alpha$  for  $a + bX + \langle X^2 + 1 \rangle$  in  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]/\langle X^2 + 1 \rangle$ .

	0	1	2	$\alpha$	$\alpha + 1$	$\alpha + 2$	$2\alpha$	$2\alpha + 1$	$2\alpha + 2$
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	$\alpha$	$\alpha + 1$	$\alpha + 2$	$2\alpha$	$2\alpha + 1$	$2\alpha + 2$
2	0	2	1	$2\alpha$	$2\alpha + 1$	$2\alpha + 1$	$\alpha$	$\alpha + 2$	$\alpha + 1$
$\alpha$	0	$\alpha$	$2\alpha$	2	$\alpha + 2$	$2\alpha + 2$	1	$\alpha + 1$	$2\alpha + 1$
$\alpha + 1$	0	$\alpha + 1$	$2\alpha + 2$	$\alpha + 2$	$2\alpha$	1	$2\alpha + 1$	2	$\alpha$
$\alpha + 2$	0	$\alpha + 2$	$2\alpha + 1$	$2\alpha + 2$	1	$\alpha$	$\alpha + 1$	$2\alpha$	2
$2\alpha$	0	$2\alpha$	$\alpha$	1	$2\alpha + 1$	$\alpha + 1$	2	$2\alpha + 2$	$\alpha + 2$
$2\alpha + 1$	0	$2\alpha + 1$	$\alpha + 2$	$\alpha + 1$	2	$2\alpha$	$2\alpha + 2$	$\alpha$	1
$2\alpha + 2$	0	$2\alpha + 2$	$\alpha + 1$	$2\alpha + 1$	$\alpha$	2	$\alpha + 2$	1	$2\alpha$

(E31) Show that  $X^3 + X + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[X]$  is irreducible, and compute the addition and multiplication tables for  $\mathbb{F}_8 = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle X^3 + X + 1 \rangle$ .

**Answer.** Same method as the previous. I'll do this on request (I'm losing the will to live).

(E32) Fix a basis B of V. Prove that any semilinear transformation on V is a composition of a linear transformation and a field automorphism of V with respect to B.

**Answer.** Define  $T_0 := \alpha^{-1}T$  where  $\alpha$  is the associated automorphism of T. It is sufficient to prove that  $T_0$  is linear. It is clearly additive. What is more if  $c \in k$  and  $v \in V$ , then

$$(cv)T_0 = (c^{\alpha^{-1}}v^{\alpha^{-1}})T = (c^{\alpha^{-1}})^{\alpha}(v^{\alpha^{-1}})T) = cvT_0.$$

We are done.

(E33) Prove that  $\Gamma L_n(k) \cong \operatorname{GL}_n(k) \rtimes_{\phi} \operatorname{Aut}(k)$ . You will need to choose an appropriate homomorphism  $\phi : \operatorname{Aut}(k) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{GL}_n(K))$  to make this work. You may find it convenient to fix a basis for V – so you can express elements of  $\operatorname{GL}_n(k)$  as matrices – before you choose  $\phi$ .

**Answer.** Observe that H, the set of field automorphisms of V is a subgroup of  $\Gamma L_n(k)$  isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Aut}(k)$ .

Claim:  $H \cap \operatorname{GL}_n(k) = \{1\}$ 

**Proof of claim:** Observe that H fixes all of the vectors whose entries are either 1 or 0. The only elements of  $\operatorname{GL}_n(k)$  that do this are scalar multiples of 1. Now consider a vector  $v = (\alpha, 1, \ldots, 1)$ . Any element of H that moves  $\alpha$  will map v to a vector that is not a scalar multiple of v. Since every non-trivial element of H moves some non-zero element of k, the claim follows.

This claim, and (E32), implies that every element of G has a unique representation as  $\alpha T$  where  $T \in GL_n(k)$  and  $\alpha \in Aut(k)$ .

**Claim:**  $GL_n(k)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma L_n(k)$ .

**Proof of claim:** Let  $T \in GL_n(k)$  and let  $\alpha$  be a field automorphism of V. Let  $c \in k, v \in V$  and observe that

$$(cv)\alpha^{-1}T\alpha = (c^{\alpha^{-1}}v^{\alpha^{-1}})T\alpha = ((c^{\alpha^{-1}})(v^{\alpha^{-1}}T))\alpha = c(v^{\alpha^{-1}}T\alpha).$$

Thus  $\alpha^{-1}T\alpha$  is linear and the claim follows.

This claim yields an automorphism  $H \to \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{GL}_n(k))$  given by the conjugation action of H on  $\operatorname{GL}_n(k)$ . Now given two elements  $g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma \operatorname{L}_n(k)$  we can write them as  $T_1\alpha_1$  and  $T_2\alpha_2$  and obtain that

$$(\alpha_1 T_1)(\alpha_2 T_2) = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)(\alpha_2^{-1} T_1 \alpha_2 T_2) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 T_1^{\phi(\alpha_2)} T_2$$

and we are done.