## MATRICES FOR $O_8^+(q)$ , $G_2(q)$ AND ${}^{3}D_4(q)$

#### NICK GILL

# 1 August 2024: Daniele Garzoni pointed out a couple of things that needed correcting, plus some extra observations, so I have edited accordingly. Grazie mille, Daniele!

### 1. INTRODUCTION

I want to try and understand the triality automorphism for  $O_8^+(q)$  from the "classical" point of view. The presence of triality makes sense if we think of  $O_8^+(q)$  as  $D_4(q)$ , but I fail to see how triality is natural when we consider the usual 8-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . My main text for reference is [Car89]. My investigations start by listing the positive  $D_4$  roots, assigning a roman letter to each one.:

Height	Roots				
1	(a) $\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$(b)  \begin{array}{c} 010 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cc} (c) & \begin{array}{c} 001 \\ 0 \end{array}$	(d) $\begin{array}{c} 000 \\ 1 \end{array}$	
2	(e) $\begin{array}{c} 110\\ 0\end{array}$	$(f)  {\begin{subarray}{c} 011 \\ 0 \end{subarray}} \end{subarray}$	$(g)  \begin{array}{c} 010 \\ 1 \end{array}$		
3	(h) $\begin{array}{c} 110 \\ 1 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{cc} (i) & 011 \\ 1 \end{array} $	$(j) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		
4	$(k)  \begin{array}{c} 111 \\ 1 \end{array}$				
5	$(\ell) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$				

TABLE 1.1. Roots of  $D_4$ 

Now I can use the assigned Roman letter to identify the root groups in, U, a Sylow p-subgroup of  $O_8^+(q)$ . Consider an ordered hyperbolic basis:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, f_4, f_3, f_2, f_1\}.$$

Now we can think of root groups using the following diagram:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & e & j & h & k & \ell \\ & 1 & b & f & g & i & & -\ell \\ & 1 & c & d & & -i & -k \\ & & 1 & -d & -g & -h \\ & & 1 & -c & -f & -j \\ & & & 1 & -b & -e \\ & & & & 1 & -a \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This diagram should be interpreted as follows. The root group  $X_a$  is the one corresponding to the root a in the table above. It is obtained by setting all other letters in the matrix to 0, and allowing the variable a to range over all values of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The q matrices so obtained form an elementary-abelian group of order q. The same applies for all other roots.

In this way one obtains 12 root groups and now U is the product of these. Note that you don't get the elements of U by just letting the 12 variables in the matrix above range over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

To get a look at triality, one might try to write down the four parabolic subgroups containing P. Let's label these as  $P_a, P_b, P_c$  and  $P_d$ . To obtain  $P_a$  we take Q and then throw in all negative fundamental root groups apart from  $X_{-a}$  (the negative root group being just the transpose of the positive one).

Of the four resulting parabolics, we find that  $P_b$  is of one isomorphism class (it stabilizes a 2-space), while the others are all isomorphic (joined by triality) with  $P_a$  stabilizing a 1-space, and  $P_c$  and  $P_d$ stabilizing different 4-spaces.

The Levi-factors of  $P_a$ ,  $P_c$  and  $P_d$  are all of type  $A_3$  – let us see how they intersects Q in each case (using a similar scheme to above):

NICK GILL

$$Q \cap P_a : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & 1 & b & f & g & i & & \\ & & 1 & c & d & & -i & \\ & & 1 & -d & -g & & \\ & & 1 & -c & -f & & \\ & & & 1 & -b & & \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q \cap P_c : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & e & h & & & \\ & 1 & b & g & & & \\ & & 1 & d & & & \\ & & 1 & -d & -g & -h \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & -b & -e \\ & & & & 1 & -h & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
  
and  $Q \cap P_d : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & e & j & & & \\ & 1 & b & f & & & \\ & 1 & b & f & & & \\ & 1 & b & f & & & \\ & 1 & c & & & & \\ & & 1 & -c & -f & -j \\ & & & 1 & -b & -e \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Notice that  $Q \cap P_a$  "looks like" the Sylow *p*-subgroup of  $O_6^+(q)$  which is of type  $D_3 = A_3$ . On the other hand  $Q \cap P_d$  is very clearly isomorphic to the sylow *P*-subgroup of  $SL_4(q)$  which is of type  $A_3$ . And finally,  $Q \cap P_c$  looks like, well, a corrupted version of  $SL_4(q)$ . Not so insightful then – I still don't feel like I really get triality when I think about the classical theory of  $O_8^+(q)$ . I await inspiration to strike...

2.  $G_2(q)$ 

An unexpected bonus coming out of this analysis was that I can write down the matrices for the "natural" 8-dimensional representation of  $G_2(q)$ . This is because  $G_2(q)$  can be seen as the centralizer of a triality automorphism g of  $O_8^+(q)$ . In terms of roots, we have:

$G_2$ root	Length	Corresponding $O_8^+(q)$ roots		
(A) 01	short	(a) $\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} (c)  \begin{array}{c} 001 \\ 0 \end{array} \end{array} $	(d) $\begin{array}{c} 000 \\ 1 \end{array}$
(B) 10	long	(b) $\begin{array}{c} 010\\ 0\end{array}$		
( <i>C</i> ) 11	short	(e) $\begin{array}{c} 110\\ 0\end{array}$	$(f) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	$\begin{array}{cc} (g) & \begin{array}{c} 010 \\ 1 \end{array}$
(D) 12	short	(h) $\begin{array}{c} 110 \\ 1 \end{array}$	$(i)  \begin{array}{c} 011 \\ 1 \end{array}$	$(j) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
(E) 13	long	$(k)  \begin{array}{c} 111 \\ 1 \end{array}$		
(F) 23	long	$(\ell) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		

TABLE 2.1. Roots of  $G_2$ 

As before I have assigned a Roman letter to each root of  $G_2$ , this time the letter being capital. The corresponding root group in  $G_2$  will then be a subgroup of a product of some root groups in  $O_8^+(q)$ . When the root is long, the root groups of  $G_2(q)$  correspond to root groups of  $O_8^+(q)$ . If the root is short, we do like this:

$$X_A := \{ x_a(t) \cdot x_c(t) \cdot x_d(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q \},\$$

so  $X_A$  is some kind of "diagonal" subgroup of the product  $X_a X_c X_d$  (note that, thanks to living in a beneficent universe, this product is itself a group). One needs to be a bit careful writing down the actual elements of  $X_A$ . They are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & \\ & & 1 & t & t & -t^2 & & \\ & & 1 & -t & & \\ & & & 1 & -t & & \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & 1 & -t & \\ & & & & & & 1 & \end{pmatrix},$$

with t ranging over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Nonetheless, if one is willing to take care in this way, then one can represent the root groups of  $G_2(q)$  using a similar scheme to that previously, modifying the interpretation of short root groups appropriately.

(1) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & C & D & D & E & F & -F^2 \\ 1 & B & C & C & D & -D^2 & -F \\ 1 & A & A & -A^2 & -D & -E \\ & 1 & -A & -C & -D \\ & & 1 & -A & -C & -D \\ & & 1 & -A & -C & -D \\ & & 1 & -B & -C \\ & & & 1 & -A \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

I didn't bother specifying the split torus above for  $O_8^+(q)$  as it is obvious. However, for the  $G_2(q)$  case, it is worth noting it down (using Carter's results about the *H*-group in [Car89]). It is the product of these two groups:

$$H_A = \text{diag}[y, y^{-1}, y^2, 1, 1, y^{-2}, y, y^{-1}]$$
$$H_B = \text{diag}[1, x, x^{-1}, 1, 1, x, x^{-1}, 1]$$

where x and y, as usual, range over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Working out the parabolic subgroups for  $G_2(q)$  is nice and easy – in both cases the Levi factor is a  $GL_2(q)$  and the unipotent radical has size  $q^5$ . I find it interesting, though, to see that the action of the Levi on the unipotent radical is different in each:

- (1) In  $P_B$ , where we add the root  $X_{-A}$  to our positive root groups, we find that the Levi factor normalizes  $X_F$ .
- (2) In  $P_A$ , where we add the root  $X_{-B}$  to our positive root groups, we find that the Levi factor does not normalize any single root group in the unipotent radical. Instead it normalizes  $X_E X_F$ .

2.1. A remark from Daniele. Although the description just given is about the embedding  $G_2(q) \leq O_8^+(2)$ , one can observe that in fact the subgroup  $G_2(q)$  stabilizes the 7-subspace  $W = \langle e_1, e_1, e_{3,4} + f_4, f_3, f_2, f_1 \rangle$ , centralizing  $e_4 - f_4$ . So we can easily obtain an embedding  $G_2(q) < O_7(q)$ .

Further, if q is even, then the subgroup  $G_2(q)$  centralizes  $e_4 + f_4$  so if we pass to the action on the quotient  $W/\langle e_4 + f_4 \rangle$  (for which we can use the basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, f_3, f_2, f_1\}$ ), then we get a concrete description of the embedding into  $\text{Sp}_6(q)$ .

3.  ${}^{3}D_{4}(q)$ 

The next bit really surprised me: pretty much all the work we did for  $G_2(q)$  now carries over to  $G = {}^{3}D_4(q)$ , by remembering that we can see G as the centralizer in  $O_8^+(q^3)$  of gf where g is the triality automorphism discussed above, and  $f: t \mapsto t^q$  is the Frobenius automorphism.

Now the roots for  $G_2$  listed in Table 2.1 also apply for  ${}^{3}D_4(q)$  with the same root groups of  $O_8^+(q)$  here as there. Similarly the scheme written at (1) also applies, however, we should just be careful to adjust our interpretation:

(1) If the corresponding  $G_2$  root is long, then our root group is obtained by taking the corresponding root group in  $O_8^+(q^3)$  and ranging over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . So, for example,

$$X_B := \{ x_b(t) \mid t \in Fq \}.$$

(2) If the corresponding  $G_2$  root is short, then we need, first, to be careful with our choice of g. Let's take g here to be the automorphism that moves the roots of  $D_4$  as follows

$$g:(a) \longrightarrow (c) \longrightarrow (d) \longrightarrow (a).$$

Then we must adjust the root as we go along by f. Thus,

а

$$X_A := \{ x_a(t) . x_c(t^q) . x_d(t^{q^2}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^3} \}.$$

It is important to observe that changing the order of the product in the short roots doesn't make any difference, i.e.

$$x_a(t_1)x_c(t_2)x_d(t_3) = x_a(t_1)x_d(t_3)x_c(t_2) = x_d(t_3)x_a(t_1)x_c(t_2) = \cdots$$

and this guarantees that  $X_A$  really does centralize gf. It's probably worth just noting down what the elements of  $X_A$  look like as matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & t^q & t^{q^2} & -t^{q+q^2} & \\ & & 1 & -t^{q^2} & \\ & & & 1 & -t^q & \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & 1 & -t \\ & & & & & & 1 & -t \\ & & & & & & 1 & -t \\ & & & & & & 1 & -t \\ \end{pmatrix},$$

As before we can write down the split torus here. It is the product of these two groups:

$$H_A = \operatorname{diag}[y, y^{-1}, y^{q^2+q}, y^{q^2-q}, y^{q-q^2}, y^{-q-q^2}, y, y^{-1}]$$
$$H_B = \operatorname{diag}[1, x, x^{-1}, 1, 1, x, x^{-1}, 1]$$

where x ranges over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and y ranges over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$ .

Again, working out the parabolics is easy.

- (1) In  $P_B$ , where we add the root  $X_{-A}$  to our positive root groups, we find that the Levi factor is of type  $A_1(q^3)$ .
- (2) In  $P_A$ , where we add the root  $X_{-B}$  to our positive root groups, we find that the Levi factor is of type  $A_1(q)$ .

Analysing the action on the unipotent radical is straightforward.

## References

[Car89] Roger W. Carter. Simple groups of Lie type. Reprint of the 1972 orig. New York: John Wiley &— Sons, Inc., reprint of the 1972 orig. edition, 1989.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH WALES, TREFOREST, CF37 1DL, U.K. *Email address:* nick.gill@southwales.ac.uk