

MATRICES FOR $O_8^+(q)$, $G_2(q)$ AND ${}^3D_4(q)$

NICK GILL

1 August 2024: Daniele Garzoni pointed out a couple of things that needed correcting, plus some extra observations, so I have edited accordingly. Grazie mille, Daniele!

1. INTRODUCTION

I want to try and understand the triality automorphism for $O_8^+(q)$ from the “classical” point of view. The presence of triality makes sense if we think of $O_8^+(q)$ as $D_4(q)$, but I fail to see how triality is natural when we consider the usual 8-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_q . My main text for reference is [Car89].

My investigations start by listing the positive D_4 roots, assigning a roman letter to each one.:

Height	Roots			
1	(a) $\begin{smallmatrix} 100 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$	(b) $\begin{smallmatrix} 010 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$	(c) $\begin{smallmatrix} 001 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$	(d) $\begin{smallmatrix} 000 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}$
2	(e) $\begin{smallmatrix} 110 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$	(f) $\begin{smallmatrix} 011 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$	(g) $\begin{smallmatrix} 010 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}$	
3	(h) $\begin{smallmatrix} 110 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}$	(i) $\begin{smallmatrix} 011 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}$	(j) $\begin{smallmatrix} 111 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$	
4	(k) $\begin{smallmatrix} 111 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}$			
5	(l) $\begin{smallmatrix} 121 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}$			

TABLE 1.1. Roots of D_4

Now I can use the assigned Roman letter to identify the root groups in U , a Sylow p -subgroup of $O_8^+(q)$. Consider an ordered hyperbolic basis:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, f_4, f_3, f_2, f_1\}.$$

Now we can think of root groups using the following diagram:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & e & j & h & k & \ell & & \\ & 1 & b & f & g & i & & & -\ell \\ & & 1 & c & d & & -i & & -k \\ & & & 1 & & -d & -g & & -h \\ & & & & 1 & -c & -f & & -j \\ & & & & & 1 & -b & & -e \\ & & & & & & 1 & & -a \\ & & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This diagram should be interpreted as follows. The root group X_a is the one corresponding to the root a in the table above. It is obtained by setting all other letters in the matrix to 0, and allowing the variable a to range over all values of \mathbb{F}_q . The q matrices so obtained form an elementary-abelian group of order q . The same applies for all other roots.

In this way one obtains 12 root groups and now U is the product of these. Note that you *don't* get the elements of U by just letting the 12 variables in the matrix above range over \mathbb{F}_q .

To get a look at triality, one might try to write down the four parabolic subgroups containing P . Let's label these as P_a, P_b, P_c and P_d . To obtain P_a we take Q and then throw in all negative fundamental root groups apart from X_{-a} (the negative root group being just the transpose of the positive one).

Of the four resulting parabolics, we find that P_b is of one isomorphism class (it stabilizes a 2-space), while the others are all isomorphic (joined by triality) with P_a stabilizing a 1-space, and P_c and P_d stabilizing different 4-spaces.

The Levi-factors of P_a, P_c and P_d are all of type A_3 – let us see how they intersects Q in each case (using a similar scheme to above):

with t ranging over \mathbb{F}_q . Nonetheless, if one is willing to take care in this way, then one can represent the root groups of $G_2(q)$ using a similar scheme to that previously, modifying the interpretation of short root groups appropriately.

$$(1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & C & D & D & E & F & -F^2 \\ & 1 & B & C & C & D & -D^2 & -F \\ & & 1 & A & A & -A^2 & -D & -E \\ & & & 1 & & -A & -C & -D \\ & & & & 1 & -A & -C & -D \\ & & & & & 1 & -B & -C \\ & & & & & & 1 & -A \\ & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

I didn't bother specifying the split torus above for $O_8^+(q)$ as it is obvious. However, for the $G_2(q)$ case, it is worth noting it down (using Carter's results about the H -group in [Car89]). It is the product of these two groups:

$$H_A = \text{diag}[y, y^{-1}, y^2, 1, 1, y^{-2}, y, y^{-1}]$$

$$H_B = \text{diag}[1, x, x^{-1}, 1, 1, x, x^{-1}, 1]$$

where x and y , as usual, range over \mathbb{F}_q .

Working out the parabolic subgroups for $G_2(q)$ is nice and easy – in both cases the Levi factor is a $\text{GL}_2(q)$ and the unipotent radical has size q^5 . I find it interesting, though, to see that the action of the Levi on the unipotent radical is different in each:

- (1) In P_B , where we add the root X_{-A} to our positive root groups, we find that the Levi factor normalizes X_F .
- (2) In P_A , where we add the root X_{-B} to our positive root groups, we find that the Levi factor does not normalize any single root group in the unipotent radical. Instead it normalizes $X_E.X_F$.

2.1. A remark from Daniele. Although the description just given is about the embedding $G_2(q) \leq O_8^+(2)$, one can observe that in fact the subgroup $G_2(q)$ stabilizes the 7-subspace $W = \langle e_1, e_1, e_{3,4} + f_4, f_3, f_2, f_1 \rangle$, centralizing $e_4 - f_4$. So we can easily obtain an embedding $G_2(q) < O_7(q)$.

Further, if q is even, then the subgroup $G_2(q)$ centralizes $e_4 + f_4$ so if we pass to the action on the quotient $W/\langle e_4 + f_4 \rangle$ (for which we can use the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, f_3, f_2, f_1\}$), then we get a concrete description of the embedding into $\text{Sp}_6(q)$.

3. ${}^3D_4(q)$

The next bit really surprised me: pretty much all the work we did for $G_2(q)$ now carries over to $G = {}^3D_4(q)$, by remembering that we can see G as the centralizer in $O_8^+(q^3)$ of gf where g is the triality automorphism discussed above, and $f : t \mapsto t^q$ is the Frobenius automorphism.

Now the roots for G_2 listed in Table 2.1 also apply for ${}^3D_4(q)$ with the same root groups of $O_8^+(q)$ here as there. Similarly the scheme written at (1) also applies, however, we should just be careful to adjust our interpretation:

- (1) If the corresponding G_2 root is long, then our root group is obtained by taking the corresponding root group in $O_8^+(q^3)$ and ranging over \mathbb{F}_q . So, for example,

$$X_B := \{x_b(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

- (2) If the corresponding G_2 root is short, then we need, first, to be careful with our choice of g . Let's take g here to be the automorphism that moves the roots of D_4 as follows

$$g : (a) \longrightarrow (c) \longrightarrow (d) \longrightarrow (a).$$

Then we must adjust the root as we go along by f . Thus,

$$X_A := \{x_a(t).x_c(t^q).x_d(t^{q^2}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^3}\}.$$

It is important to observe that changing the order of the product in the short roots doesn't make any difference, i.e.

$$x_a(t_1)x_c(t_2)x_d(t_3) = x_a(t_1)x_d(t_3)x_c(t_2) = x_d(t_3)x_a(t_1)x_c(t_2) = \dots$$

